

Support to Eligible Parties to Produce the Sixth National Report to the CBD (Global)

Environmental, Social and Economic Review Note (ESERN)



United Nations
Environment Programme



Photo: © Global Environmental Facility

I. Project Overview

Identification	9832
Project Title	Support to Eligible Parties to Produce the Sixth National Report to the CBD (Global)
Managing Division	Ecosystems Division
Type /Location	National
Region	Global
List Countries	Angola, Cameroon, Lesotho, Madagascar, Malawi, Maldives, Mauritius, Mozambique, Namibia, Nicaragua, Pakistan, Seychelles, Solomon Islands, South Africa, Swaziland, Zambia, Zimbabwe
Project Description	To project will provide financial and technical support to GEF-eligible Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) in their work to develop high quality, data driven sixth national reports (6NR) that will improve national decision-making processes for the implementation of NBSAPs; that report on progress towards achieving the Aichi Biodiversity Targets (ABTs) and inform both the fifth Global Biodiversity Outlook (GBO5) and the Global Biodiversity Strategy of 2021 – 2030.
Estimated duration of project:	2017-2019
Estimated cost of the project :	GEF Grant: 1,963,500 Co-finance: 1,116,060
Funding Source:	GEF

II. Environmental Social and Economic Screening Determination

Summary of the Safeguard Risks Triggered

Safeguard Standard Triggered by the Project	Impact of Risk (1-5)	Probability of Risk (1-5)	Significance of Risk (L, M, H)*
SS 1: Biodiversity, natural habitat and Sustainable Management of Living Resources	1	1	L
SS 2: Resource Efficiency, Pollution Prevention and Management of Chemicals and Wastes	1	1	L
SS 3: Safety of Dams	1	1	L
SS 4: Involuntary resettlement	2	2	L
SS 5: Indigenous peoples	1	1	L
SS 6: Labor and working conditions	1	1	L
SS 7: Cultural Heritage	2	1	L
SS 8: Gender equity	1	2	L
SS 9: Economic Sustainability	2	2	M
Additional Safeguard questions for projects seeking GCF-funding (Section IV)	NA	NA	NA

*Refer to the UNEP ESES Framework (Chapter 2) and the UNEP's ESES Guidelines

ESE Screening Decision

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low risk	<input type="checkbox"/> Moderate risk	<input type="checkbox"/> High risk	<input type="checkbox"/> Additional information required
--	--	------------------------------------	--

Development of ESE Review Note and Screening Decision

ESERN Prepared by:	Name: Mohamed F. Sessay	Date: 24 April 2017
--------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------

Conforms to ESES Framework	Name:	Date:
----------------------------	-------	-------

Accepted by Project Manager:	Name:	Date:
------------------------------	-------	-------

Recommended further action from the Safeguard Advisor